CITY OF CALDWELL (PWS 3140013) SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT FINAL REPORT

February 6, 2002



State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality

Disclaimer: This publication has been developed as part of an informational service for the source water assessments of public water systems in Idaho and is based on data available at the time and the professional judgement of the staff. Although reasonable efforts have been made to present accurate information, no guarantees, including expressed or implied warranties of any kind, are made with respect to this publication by the State of Idaho or any of its agencies, employees, or agents, who also assume no legal responsibility for the accuracy of presentations, comments, or other information in this publication. The assessment is subject to modification if new data is produced.

Executive Summary

Under the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996, all states are required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to assess every source of public drinking water for its relative sensitivity to contaminants regulated by the Act. This assessment is based on a land use inventory of the designated assessment area and sensitivity factors associated with the wells and aquifer characteristics.

This report, *Source Water Assessment for City of Caldwell, Idaho*, describes the public drinking water system, the boundaries of the zones of water contribution, and the associated potential contaminant sources located within these boundaries. This assessment should be used as a planning tool, taken into account with local knowledge and concerns, to develop and implement appropriate protection measures for this source. The results should <u>not be</u> used as an absolute measure of risk and they should <u>not be</u> used to undermine public confidence in the water system.

The City of Caldwell drinking water system consists of 13 wells. In general, all of the City of Caldwell wells rate moderate to low susceptibility to inorganic contaminants (IOCs), volatile organic contaminants (VOCs), synthetic organic contaminants (SOCs), and microbial contamination, except for the following issue. Well #14 has an automatic high susceptibility rating to IOCs because, in August 1997, cyanide exceeded the maximum contaminant level (MCL) as set by the EPA. Moderate to low ratings for hydrologic sensitivity and system construction scores influenced the overall ranking the most. These reduced scores counteracted the numerous potential contaminant sources and agricultural land uses contained within the delineated areas.

The majority of the well logs do not give information regarding annular seal depth, leading to higher default scores. Additionally, sanitary surveys are the usual source of information regarding surface flooding protection and wellhead seal requirements. If these sources of information could be provided, the overall scores would likely drop for all the wells.

The potential contaminant sources include transportation corridors (Union Pacific Railroad, Highway 30, Interstate 84, etc), commercial and industrial businesses, and agricultural land uses. Moderate to low hydrologic sensitivity and moderate to high system construction scores influenced the overall scores as well.

None of the wells has recorded the presence of SOCs during any water chemistry tests, nor have total coliform been detected in any drinking water monitoring tests. The IOCs barium, fluoride, chromium, and arsenic have been detected, but at levels below the current MCLs as set by the EPA. Nitrate concentrations have been consistently below 2.0 milligrams per liter (mg/l). The MCL for nitrate is 10 mg/l. Following the chlorination of the system in December 2000, the VOCs bromoform and chlorodibromomethane were detected in Wells #1-A, #7, #10, #11 and #14. These trihalomethanes are by-products of the chlorination process and not considered a problem with the source water. Though the drinking water system meets current regulations, the City of Caldwell should be aware that the potential for contamination still exists. Surrounding agricultural land use practices have contributed to putting all the wells within areas rated "high" for county level nitrogen fertilizer use, county level herbicide use, and total county level ag-chemical use. Wells #11 and #13 also fall into an SOC priority area for the pesticides atrazine and alachlor. Additionally, Wells #7, #8, #10, #11, #12, #14, #15, and #16 are located within a nitrate priority area.

This assessment should be used as a basis for determining appropriate new protection measures or reevaluating existing protection efforts. No matter what ranking a source receives, protection is always important. Whether the source is currently located in a "pristine" area or an area with numerous industrial and/or agricultural land uses that require surveillance, the way to ensure good water quality in the future is to act now to protect valuable water supply resources. If the system should need to expand in the future, new well sites should be located in areas with as few potential sources of contamination as possible, and the site should be reserved and protected for this specific use.

For the City of Caldwell, drinking water protection activities should first focus on correcting any deficiencies outlined on the recent sanitary survey (an inspection conducted every five years with the purpose of determining the physical condition of a water system's components and its capacity). These surveys are currently not required for large systems that test for microbial contamination more than five times per month. As many of the delineations cover predominantly urban areas, there should be a strong public education program to make people aware that they live above their source of drinking water. Storm water practices may also need to be assessed. Additionally, since some of the well delineations cross agricultural land uses, there should be a focus on implementation of practices aimed at reducing the leaching of agricultural chemicals from agricultural land within the designated source water areas. No potential contaminants, including roads or fuel tanks, should be allowed within 50 feet of any of the wellheads. Much of the designated protection areas are outside the direct jurisdiction of the City of Caldwell, making collaboration and partnerships with state and local agencies and industry groups critical to the success of drinking water protection. All wells should maintain sanitary standards regarding wellhead protection.

Should microbial contamination become a problem, appropriate disinfection practices would need to be implemented in a way to protect the drinking water from VOC by-products of the practice. Though water cannot be totally free of by-products when disinfection is used, they can be reduced by treatment modifications. In 1983, EPA identified some technologies, treatment techniques and plant modifications that water systems could use to reduce the amount of disinfection by-products produced. One of the most effective and simple treatment modifications was to move the point of chlorination downstream in the treatment train thereby reducing the amount of natural organic matter (NOM) in the source water. NOM, a disinfection by-product precursor, reacts with free chlorine, free bromine, or oxidizing agents to form disinfection by-products. Other factors that affect the formation of by-products are pH, temperature, and dose of disinfection. Other disinfection by-product control strategies can be found at http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mdbp/pdf/alter/chapt_2.pdf.

Due to the time involved with the movement of ground water, drinking water protection activities should be aimed at long-term management strategies even though these strategies may not yield results in the near term. A strong public education program should be a primary focus of any drinking water protection plan as the delineations contain some urban and residential land uses. There are multiple resources available to help communities implement protection programs, including the Drinking Water Academy of the EPA. As there are major transportation corridors through the delineations, the Idaho Department of Transportation should be involved in protection activities. Drinking water protection activities for agriculture should be coordinated with the Idaho State Department of Agriculture, the Soil Conservation Commission, the Canyon Soil Conservation District, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

A community must incorporate a variety of strategies in order to develop a comprehensive drinking water protection plan, be they regulatory in nature (i.e. zoning, permitting) or non-regulatory in nature (i.e. good housekeeping, public education, specific best management practices). For assistance in developing drinking water protection strategies please contact the Boise Regional Office of the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality or the Idaho Rural Water Association.

SOURCE WATER ASSESSMENT FOR THE CITY OF CALDWELL, IDAHO

Section 1. Introduction - Basis for Assessment

The following sections contain information necessary to understand how and why this assessment was conducted. **It is important to review this information to understand the results of the assessment.** Maps showing the delineated source water assessment area and the inventory of significant potential sources of contamination identified within that area are attached (Appendix A). The lists of significant potential contaminant source categories and their rankings used to develop the assessment are also attached.

Background

Under the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996, all states are required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to assess every source of public drinking water for its relative susceptibility to contaminants regulated by the Safe Drinking Water Act. This assessment is based on a land use inventory of the delineated assessment area and sensitivity factors associated with the wells and aquifer characteristics.

Level of Accuracy and Purpose of the Assessment

Since there are over 2,900 public water sources in Idaho, there is limited time and resources to accomplish the assessments. All assessments must be completed by May of 2003. An in-depth, site-specific investigation of each significant potential source of contamination is not possible. Therefore, this assessment should be used as a planning tool, taken into account with local knowledge and concerns, to develop and implement appropriate protection measures for this source. The results should <u>not be</u> used as an absolute measure of risk and they should <u>not be</u> used to undermine public confidence in the water system.

The ultimate goal of the assessment is to provide data to local communities to develop a protection strategy for their drinking water supply system. The Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) recognizes that pollution prevention activities generally require less time and money to implement than treatment of a public water supply system once it has been contaminated. DEQ encourages communities to balance resource protection with economic growth and development. The decision as to the amount and types of information necessary to develop a drinking water protection program should be determined by the local community based on its own needs and limitations. Wellhead or drinking water protection is one facet of a comprehensive growth plan, and it can complement ongoing local planning efforts.

Section 2. Conducting the Assessment

General Description of the Source Water Quality

The public drinking water system for the City of Caldwell is comprised of thirteen ground water wells that serve approximately 25,000 people through approximately 7,500 connections. The wells are located in Canyon County, at various locations around the City of Caldwell (Figure 1).

Though there are no current significant water chemistry problems in the ground water, there have been detections in the tested well water of the inorganic contaminants (IOCs) fluoride, barium, chromium, arsenic, and nitrate at levels below the current maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) as set by the EPA. In August 1997, the IOC cyanide was detected in Well #14 at a level of 0.5 milligrams per liter (mg/l), exceeding the MCL of 0.2 mg/l.

Though total coliform bacteria have not been detected in the distribution system, chlorine disinfection of December 2000 led to the detection of the volatile organic contaminants (VOCs) bromoform and chlorodibromomethane, by-products of disinfection, in Wells #1-A, #7, #10, #11 and #14. No synthetic organic contaminants (SOCs) have been detected in the well water.

Surrounding agricultural land use practices have contributed to putting all the wells within areas rated "high" for county level nitrogen fertilizer use, county level herbicide use, and total county level agchemical use. Wells #11 and #13 also fall into an SOC priority area for the pesticides atrazine and alachlor. Additionally, Wells #7, #8, #10, #11, #12, #14, #15, and #16 are located within a nitrate priority area.

Defining the Zones of Contribution – Delineation

The delineation process establishes the physical area around a well that will become the focal point of the assessment. The process includes mapping the boundaries of the zone of contribution into time-of-travel (TOT) zones (zones indicating the number of years necessary for a particle of water to reach a well) for water in the aquifer. DEQ contracted with BARR Engineering to perform the delineations using a combination of MODFLOW and a refined analytical element computer model approved by the EPA in determining the 3-year (Zone 1B), 6-year (Zone 2), and 10-year (Zone 3) TOT for water associated with the Boise Valley aquifer in the vicinity of the City of Caldwell. The computer models used site specific data, assimilated by BARR Engineering from a variety of sources including the City of Caldwell well logs, other local area well logs, the Treasure Valley Hydrologic Project, and hydrogeologic reports (detailed below).

Treasure Valley Hydrologic Project Information (Petrich and Urban, 1996; Neely and Crockett, 1998; Petrich et al., 1999)

The "Treasure Valley" is a geopolitical region that includes the lower Boise River sub-basin. The lower Boise River sub-basin begins where the Boise River exits the mountains near the Lucky Peak Reservoir. From Lucky Peak Dam the lower Boise River flows about 64 (river) miles northwestward through the Treasure Valley to its confluence with the Snake River. The Treasure Valley Hydrologic Project area encompasses the lower Boise River area, and extends south to the Snake River. The southern area is included in the study area because of ground water flow from the Lower Boise River basin south toward the Snake River.

FIGURE 1. Geographic Location of City of Caldwell STATE OF IDAHO COEUR D'ALENE 50 100 150 Miles N LEWISTON CALDWELL BOISE PIDAHO FALLS POCATELLO TWIN FALLS Masor Slough CANAL WELL #1-A Caldwell Muwell #8 20 26 Airport WELL #6 RAIN Maddens WELL #10 HILL WELL #11 WELL #15 B WELL #16 sman O rain WELL #13 5 Miles 1 2 3

Significant amounts of desert area were converted to flood irrigated agriculture beginning in the 1860s. Irrigation led to increases in shallow ground water levels in some areas. The shallow ground water levels provided an inexpensive and readily obtainable water supply that is used extensively throughout the valley. Much of the population growth in the Treasure Valley has been occurring in previously flood-irrigated agricultural areas, resulting in increased pumpage and a reduction in local aquifer recharge. In addition, irrigation in some areas has become more efficient, reducing the amount of irrigation-related infiltration. Decreasing aquifer recharge and increasing pumpage is thought to be contributing to decreasing ground water levels in some areas.

The Treasure Valley experiences a temperate and arid-to-semiarid climate. Average high temperatures range from about 90°F in summer to 36°F in winter; low temperatures range from about 20°F in winter to about 56°F in summer. The average precipitation ranges from about 8 to 14 inches throughout most of the valley, most of which falls during the colder months.

Major surface water bodies include the Boise River, Lake Lowell, and Lucky Peak Reservoir. The primary source of surface water in the Treasure Valley is precipitation falling in the high elevation area in the Boise River basin upstream of Lucky Peak Dam. Much of the runoff from high elevation areas is stored in three reservoirs: Anderson Ranch Reservoir, Arrowrock Reservoir, and Lucky Peak Reservoir.

The region's croplands are irrigated primarily with surface water through an extensive network of reservoirs and canals. The first canals were constructed in the 1860's; there are now over 1,100 miles of major and intermediate canals in the Treasure Valley. The primary sources of the irrigation water in the Treasure Valley include the Boise, Snake, and Payette Rivers. The majority of canals are owned and maintained by canal companies and irrigation districts.

Hydrogeology (from Petrich et al., 1999)

The lower Boise River sub-basin (Treasure Valley) is located within the northwest-trending topographic depression known as the western Snake River Plain. The western Snake River Plain is a relatively flat lowland separating Cretaceous granitic mountains of west-central Idaho from the granitic/volcanic Owyhee mountains in southwestern Idaho. The western Snake River Plain extends from about Twin Falls, Idaho northwestward to Vale, Oregon. The Snake River Plain is about 30 miles wide in the section containing the lower Boise River.

Sediments originating from the surrounding mountains began accumulating on top of thick, basal basalts. Rifting and continued subsidence maintained the lowland topography, leading to the additional accumulation of water and sediments (Othberg, 1994). Basin infilling by sediments and basalt occurred from the late Miocene through the late Pliocene (Othberg, 1994). Incision caused by flowing water in major drainages (e.g., Snake and Boise Rivers) began in the late Pliocene or early Pleistocene, although deposition of coarse sediments continued during Quaternary glaciations (Othberg, 1994).

Several Quaternary basalt flows have been described in the western Snake River Plain, and have been assigned to the upper Snake River Group (Malde, 1991; Malde and Powers, 1962). Lava flowed across portions of the ancestral Snake River Valley (Malde, 1991) in an area that is now south of the Boise River. The Snake River then changed course, incising at its present location along the southern margin of the basalt flows. More recent eruptions (from Kuna Butte and other local sources) spilled lava into the canyon south of Melba. The Snake River has since incised this basalt (Malde, 1991).

The general stratigraphy of the western Snake River Plain consists of (from top to bottom) a thick layer of sedimentary deposits underlain by a thick series of basalt flows, which in turn are underlain by older, tuffaceous sediments and basalt (Malde, 1991; Clemens, 1993). The upper thick zone of sediments (up to approximately 6,000 feet thick) distinguishes the western Snake River Plain from the eastern Snake River Plain, in which the upper section is primarily Quaternary basalt (Wood and Anderson, 1981).

The uppermost sediments and basalt belong to the Pleistocene-age Snake River Group. The Snake River Group consists of terrace sediments, Quaternary alluvium, and Pleistocene basalt flows (Wood and Anderson, 1981). Snake River Group sediments and basalts cover much of the project area (Othberg and Stanford, 1992).

The Snake River Group overlies the Idaho Group sediments. The Idaho Group sediments can be divided into two general parts (Wood and Anderson, 1981). The lower Idaho Group contains sediments described as lake and stream deposits of buff white, brown, and gray sand, silt, clay, diatomite, numerous thin beds of vitric ash, and some basaltic tuffs. The upper part of the lower Idaho Group also contains some local, thin, basalt flows. The upper Idaho Group consists of sands, claystones, and siltstones, but differs from the lower Idaho Group in that it contains a greater percentage of coarser-grained materials. The upper Idaho Group is associated with a fluvial/deltaic/lacustrine depositional environment; the lower Idaho Group sediments were deposited in more of a lacustrine/deltaic environment (Wood, 1994).

Wood (1994) identified a buried lacustrine delta within the Idaho Group sediments in the Nampa-Caldwell area. The location of the delta in the middle of the western Snake River Plain suggests that the eastern part of the Boise River basin was delta plain and flood plain at the time of deposition, while the western part was a deep lake environment. The delta probably prograded northwestward into a lake basin 800 feet deep, based upon high resolution seismic reflection data and resistivity log interpretations. The delta-plain and front sediments were shown to be mostly fine-grained, well-sorted sand with thin layers of mud (Wood, 1994). The northwest trend of the delta indicates a sediment source to the southeast, such as where the Snake River flows today (Wood, 1994).

A substantial, laterally extensive layer of clay is found at depths of 300 to 700 feet below ground surface. The clay is important because it represents, in some areas, a significant aquitard separating shallow overlying aquifers from deeper zones. The clay, often described in well logs as having a blue or gray color, has been observed as far west as Parma, and as far east as Boise (although the clay is not found in the extreme eastern portions of the Treasure Valley). The clay varies from a few feet to a few hundred feet in thickness. Although significant layers of clay are present throughout the Idaho Group sediments, individual clay units are not necessarily continuous over large areas. Also, the top of the clay can vary in elevation by up to approximately 200 feet in some locations, such as in an area west of Lake Lowell. In general, sediments above the "blue clay" are coarser-grained than the interbedded sands, silts, and clays underlying the "blue clay."

The top of the upper Idaho Group is marked in several parts of the Treasure Valley by a widespread fluvial gravel deposit known as the Tenmile gravels. Tenmile gravels contain rounded granitic rocks and felsic porphyries originating from the Idaho Batholith to the north and northeast. The Tenmile gravels range up to 500 feet in thickness along the Tenmile Ridge south of Boise, but are less than 50 feet thick in the Caldwell-Caldwell area (Wood and Anderson, 1981).

Aquifer Systems and Hydrogeologic Characteristics

Ground water for municipal, industrial, rural domestic, and irrigation uses in the Treasure Valley is drawn almost entirely from Snake River Group and Idaho Group aquifers. Many domestic wells draw water from shallow aquifers, such as those in the Snake River Group deposits. Larger production wells (for municipal and agricultural uses) draw water from the deeper Idaho Group sediments.

Aquifers contained in the Snake River and Idaho Group sediments comprise shallow and regional ground water flow systems. Shallow aquifers contained in Snake River Group sediments and basalts may belong to local flow systems. Most local flow system recharge stems from irrigation infiltration and channel (e.g., streams or canals) losses. Discharge from shallow, local flow systems often is to local drains or streams. The time from recharge to discharge in shallow flow systems (residence times) probably ranges from days to tens of years.

In contrast, regional ground water flow systems extend much deeper than local flow systems. The Treasure Valley regional flow system begins in the eastern part of the valley, as indicated by downward hydraulic gradients in the Boise Fan sediments described by Squires et al. (1992). Some water also enters the regional flow system as underflow from the Boise Foothills in the northeastern part of the valley. The regional flow system is thought to discharge primarily to the Boise and Snake Rivers in the western and southwestern parts of the valley.

Aquifer material characteristics, material heterogeneity, and structural controls influence Treasure Valley ground water flow. Coarse-grained materials (e.g., sand and gravel) in upper zones are more capable of transmitting ground water than fine-grained sediments (e.g., silt and clay). Clay and silt in the Snake River sediments can restrict vertical and/or horizontal ground water movement. Perched aquifers are created when fine-grained lenses impede downward vertical flow. A distinctive clay layer, sometimes referred to as "blue clay," is present over large portions of the valley. The clay is absent in the easternmost portions of the lower Boise River Basin, but can reach a thickness of more than 200 feet toward the central and western portions of the basin.

Sequences of interbedded sand, silt, and clay, such as the Deer Flat Surface and the upper portion of the Glenns Ferry Formation of the upper Idaho Group in the Caldwell-Caldwell area, are the major water-producing aquifers in a large part of Canyon County (Anderson and Wood, 1981). The coarse-grained sediments in this zone produce water in excess of 2,000 gallons per minute (gpm).

The delineated source water assessment areas for the majority of the City of Caldwell wells can best be described as eastward trending corridors approximately 2 to 2 ½ miles long and one-quarter to one-half mile wide. Wells #4, #12, and #14 were delineated as fixed radius circles because of their current inactive status (Appendix A, Figures 2 through 14). The actual data used by BARR Engineering in determining the source water assessment delineation areas are available from DEQ upon request.

Identifying Potential Sources of Contamination

A potential source of contamination is defined as any facility or activity that stores, uses, or produces, as a product or by-product, the contaminants regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act and has a sufficient likelihood of releasing such contaminants at levels that could pose a concern relative to drinking water sources. The goal of the inventory process is to locate and describe those facilities, land uses, and environmental conditions that are potential sources of ground water contamination. The locations of potential sources of contamination within the delineation areas were obtained by field surveys conducted by DEQ, the local operator, and from available databases.

Land use within the immediate area of the City of Caldwell wellheads consists of residential, commercial, and transportation corridor uses, while the surrounding area is predominantly irrigated agriculture and land under residential and commercial development.

It is important to understand that a release may never occur from a potential source of contamination provided they are using best management practices. Many potential sources of contamination are regulated at the federal level, state level, or both to reduce the risk of release. Therefore, when a business, facility, or property is identified as a potential contaminant source, this should not be interpreted to mean that this business, facility, or property is in violation of any local, state, or federal environmental law or regulation. What it does mean is that the <u>potential</u> for contamination exists due to the nature of the business, industry, or operation. There are a number of methods that water systems can use to work cooperatively with potential sources of contamination, including educational visits and inspections of stored materials. Many owners of such facilities may not even be aware that they are located near a public water supply well.

Contaminant Source Inventory Process

A two-phased contaminant inventory of the study area was conducted in September and October 2001. The first phase involved identifying and documenting potential contaminant sources within the City of Caldwell Source Water Assessment Areas (Appendix A, Figures 2 through 14) through the use of computer databases and Geographic Information System maps developed by DEQ. The second, or enhanced, phase of the contaminant inventory involved contacting the operator to identify and add any additional potential sources in the area.

The delineated source water areas contain a varying number of potential contaminant sites, ranging from zero (Wells #1-A, #4, #12, #15, and #16) to 55 (Well #8). The delineations contain transportation corridors (Union Pacific Railroad, Interstate 84, Highway 30, etc) and various commercial, industrial, and agricultural sources as potential sources of contamination. Spills occurring on the transportation corridors could contribute all classes of contamination to the aquifer. Additionally, there are sites regulated by the Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA), the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), and the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA). Appendix A contains the locations of these potential contaminant sources as well as a description for each well (Tables A-1 through A-8).

Section 3. Susceptibility Analyses

Each well's susceptibility to contamination was ranked as high, moderate, or low risk according to the following considerations: hydrologic characteristics, physical integrity of the well, land use characteristics, and potentially significant contaminant sources. The susceptibility rankings are specific to a particular potential contaminant or category of contaminants. Therefore, a high susceptibility rating relative to one potential contaminant does not mean that the well is at the same risk for all other potential contaminants. The relative ranking that is derived for each well is a qualitative, screening-level step that, in many cases, uses generalized assumptions and best professional judgement. Appendix B contains the susceptibility analysis worksheets. The following summaries describe the rationale for the susceptibility ranking.

Hydrologic Sensitivity

The hydrologic sensitivity of a well is dependent upon four factors: the surface soil composition, the material in the vadose zone (between the land surface and the water table), the depth to first ground water, and the presence of fine-grained geologic material above the producing zone of the well. Slowly draining soils such as silt and clay typically are more protective of ground water than coarse-grained soils such as sand and gravel. Similarly, fine-grained sediments in the subsurface and a water depth of more than 300 feet protect the ground water from contamination.

Hydrologic sensitivity is high for Well #11 and #14, moderate for Wells #1-A, #4, #6, #9, #10, #12, #15, and #16, and low for Wells #7, #8, and #13 (Table 2). Lack of thick, low permeability clay layers caused Wells #11 and #14 to be rated as high sensitivity. Regional soil data indicate the presence of a combination of poorly to moderately drained as well as moderately to well-drained soils. In general, wells located on the southwest and eastern sides of the City of Caldwell have the more well-drained soils. The vadose zone near the wellheads consists of top soil, sand and gravel, clay, and lava in various proportions. The first ground water is encountered at about 20 feet below ground surface (bgs).

Well Construction

Well construction directly affects the ability of the well to protect the aquifer from contaminants. System construction scores are reduced when information shows that potential contaminants will have a more difficult time reaching the intake of the well. Lower scores imply a system is less vulnerable to contamination. For example, if the well casing and annular seal both extend into a low permeability unit, then the possibility of contamination is reduced and the system construction score goes down. If the highest production interval is more than 100 feet below the water table, then the system is considered to have better buffering capacity. If the wellhead and surface seal are maintained to standards, then contamination down the well bore is less likely. As there are no sanitary surveys, the issue of well seals could not be addressed. If a well is protected from surface flooding and is outside the 100-year floodplain, then contamination from surface events is reduced. A sanitary survey was conducted in December 2001.

Well driller's reports were available for all the wells, though there was a lack of information regarding annular seal placement for many of the wells. The 2001 sanitary survey showed no major problems and that each of the wells were protected from flooding and had good well seals. All of the wells rate moderate to low for system construction. Information regarding the wells is summarized below (Table 1).

Table 1. City of Caldwell Well Construction Summary Information

Well #1-A 36 Well #4 42 Well #6 33 Well #7 43 Well #8 32	(ft) 65 Artesian 22 70 30 8 30 Artesian	16/0.375 16/0.375; 10/0.365 18, 14, 10/NI	formation 355/Brown fluid sand 415/Soft sticky clay 330/Yellow clay 430/Yellow clay and sand	depth (ft)/ formation 45/Clay 263/Soft tacky clay NI/NI	208-228, 338-348 266-415 175-185, 222-230, 240-250, 285-295, 305-320	1987 2000 1965	Survey Elements (A/B)¹ Yes/Yes Yes/Yes Yes/Yes
Well #4 42 Well #6 33 Well #7 43	22 70 30 8 30 Artesian	16/0.375 16/0.375; 10/0.365 18, 14, 10/NI	fluid sand 415/Soft sticky clay 330/Yellow clay 430/Yellow	263/Soft tacky clay NI/NI	338-348 266-415 175-185, 222-230, 240-250, 285-295, 305-320	2000	Yes/Yes
Well #6 33 Well #7 43	30 8 30 Artesian	16/0.375; 10/0.365 18, 14, 10/NI	415/Soft sticky clay 330/Yellow clay 430/Yellow	clay NI/NI	266-415 175-185, 222-230, 240-250, 285-295, 305-320		
Well #6 33 Well #7 43	30 8 30 Artesian	10/0.365 18, 14, 10/NI	clay 330/Yellow clay 430/Yellow	clay NI/NI	175-185, 222-230, 240-250, 285-295, 305-320		
Well #7 43	30 Artesiai	18, 14, 10/NI	330/Yellow clay 430/Yellow	NI/NI	222-230, 240-250, 285-295, 305-320	1965	Yes/Yes
Well #7 43	30 Artesiai		clay 430/Yellow		222-230, 240-250, 285-295, 305-320	1965	Yes/Yes
		12, 10/NI	430/Yellow	NI/NI	240-250, 285-295, 305-320		
		12, 10/NI		NI/NI	285-295, 305-320		
		12, 10/NI		NI/NI	305-320		
		12, 10/NI		NI/NI			
		12, 10/NI		NI/NI	210 217		
Well #8 32	29 Artesian		clay and cand	· ·	310-347,	1968	Yes/Yes
Well #8 32	29 Artesian		Ciay and Sand		359-370,		
Well #8 32	29 Artesian	- i			407-423		
		18, 12, 10/NI	316/Yellow	NI/NI	280-306	1974	Yes/Yes
			clay				
Well #9 51	15 30	18, 12, 10/NI	515/Blue shale	NI/NI	286-301,	1975	Yes/Yes
					337-347,		
					432-442,		
					465-475,		
*** ** "40		10.10.77	220/211		488-503	40=4	
Well #10 39		18, 10/NI	220/Sticky clay	NI/NI	150-200	1976	Yes/Yes
Well #11 41	11 3	18, 10/NI	411/Clay	NI/NI	322-332,	1977	Yes/Yes
					341-353,		
					372-392,		
XX 11 //4 0 0 0		10 10 10 NH	267/01) II () II	397-405	1050	57 /S7
Well #12 36		18, 12, 10/NI	367/Clay	NI/NI	303-353	1978	Yes/Yes
Well #13 55	50 Artesiai	20, 16, 10/NI	530/Sticky clay	32/Lava	380-405,	1980	Yes/Yes
					430-450,		
Wall #14 20	35 5	16/0.275	290/17	00/Cord 1	505-510	1006	V 00/X/
Well #14 38	5	16/0.375;	380/Very	90/Sand and	340-370	1986	Yes/Yes
Well #15 47	79 NI	10/0.250	muddy sand	clay layers	212 222	1005	Yes/Yes
vven #15 4/	NI NI	16, 10/NI	474/Clay with sandy interbeds	200/Tan, sandy,	213-233, 256-266,	1995	res/res
			sandy interbeds	clay	310-320,		
					332-337,		
					332-337, 346-351,		
					411-428,		
					450-460		
Well #16 55	53 19	16/0.375,	550/Blue clay	420/Brown clay	455-465,	1995	Yes/Yes
71011 #10 33	19	10/0.365	550/ Diue Clay	with sand layers	490-500,	1773	103/103
		10/0.303		with said tayers	510-520,		
					530-535		

 $^{^{1}}$ A = Well and surface seal in compliance; B = Protected from surface flooding

NI = no information was available

The well logs allowed a determination as to whether current public water system (PWS) construction standards are being met. Though the wells may have been in compliance with standards when they were completed, current PWS well construction standards are more stringent. The Idaho Department of Water Resources *Well Construction Standards Rules* (1993) require all PWSs to follow DEQ standards as well. IDAPA 58.01.08.550 requires that PWSs follow the *Recommended Standards for Water Works* (1997) during construction. Some of the regulations deal with screening requirements, aquifer pump tests, annular seal placement, casing vent requirements, and thickness of casing. Table 1 of the *Recommended Standards for Water Works* (1997) lists the required steel casing thickness for various diameter wells. Ten-inch casing requires 0.365-inch thick casing, and 12-inch and larger casing requires a casing thickness of at least 0.375-inches. The newer wells with information (Wells #4 and #16) meet this requirement. Many of the wells had no information regarding the geologic unit that the annular seal was placed into. The wells also did not have sanitary surveys to provide information regarding protection from surface flooding. Without this vital system construction information, DEQ, in an effort to be conservative, gave each well an additional system construction point.

Potential Contaminant Source and Land Use

Land use scores vary from low to high for IOCs (i.e. nitrates, arsenic), from low to moderate for VOCs (i.e. petroleum products) and for SOCs (i.e. pesticides), and low for microbial contaminants (Table 2).

Wells #6, #7, #9, and #11 that are located on the east side of the City of Caldwell, such that they have potential contaminant sites in the 3-year TOT and agricultural land uses in the 6- and 10-year TOT rate high for IOCs. Wells #1-A, #15, and #16 have no potential sources, but cross significant agricultural land uses, leading to a moderate rating for IOCs. Wells #8 and #10 have numerous potential contaminant sites, but little agricultural land uses, leading to a moderate rating for IOCs. All other wells (#4, #12, #13, and #14) have a low land use score due to a lack of potential contaminant sources as well as a lack of agricultural land uses.

Wells #6, #7, #8, and #9 have a moderate land use score for VOCs and SOCs due to the potential commercial contaminant sites and the major transportation corridors crossing the delineations. Well #11 rates moderate for VOCs and SOCs mainly due to the potential contaminant sites related to the airport. All of the other wells rate low for land use in relationship to VOCs and SOCs due to limited potential contaminant sites or transportation corridors within the 3-year TOT.

Except for the transportation corridors and a few agriculturally related businesses, there is a lack of potential contaminant sites that could add microbial contamination to the aquifer. As such, each of the wells rated low land use to microbial contamination.

Surrounding agricultural land use practices have contributed to putting all the wells within areas rated "high" for county level nitrogen fertilizer use, county level herbicide use, and total county level agchemical use. Wells #11 and #13 also fall into an SOC priority area for the pesticides atrazine and alachlor. Additionally, Wells #7, #8, #10, #11, #12, #14, #15, and #16 are located within a nitrate priority area.

Final Susceptibility Ranking

A detection above a drinking water standard MCL, any detection of a VOC or SOC, or a detection of total coliform bacteria or fecal coliform bacteria at the wellhead will automatically give a high susceptibility rating to a well despite the land use of the area because a pathway for contamination already exists. Additionally, storing potential contaminant sources within 50 feet of a wellhead will automatically lead to a high susceptibility rating. In this case, Well #14 automatically rated high for IOCs because of an MCL violation in August 1997 for the chemical cyanide. Though cyanide has not exceeded the MCL since that time, the potential pathway exists within the aquifer. Hydrologic sensitivity and system construction scores are heavily weighted in the final scores. Having multiple potential contaminant sources in the 0- to 3-year TOT zone (Zone 1B) and agricultural land contribute greatly to the overall ranking. In terms of total susceptibility, the wells generally rated moderate for all categories. Wells #4 and #13 have an overall low susceptibility to all contaminants, while Well #8 rated low for microbial contaminants.

Table 2. Summary of City of Caldwell Susceptibility Evaluation

		Susceptibility Scores ¹								
	Hydrologic Sensitivity			ntaminan nventory	t	System Construction	Fi	nal Susce	eptibility	Ranking
Well		IOC	VOC	SOC	Microbials		IOC	VOC	SOC	Microbials
Well #1-A	M	M	L	L	L	M	M	M	M	M
Well #4	M	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Well #6	M	Н	M	M	L	M	M	M	M	M
Well #7	L	Н	M	M	L	M	M	M	M	M
Well #8	L	M	M	M	L	M	M	M	M	L
Well #9	M	Н	M	M	L	M	M	M	M	M
Well #10	M	M	L	L	L	M	M	M	M	M
Well #11	Н	Н	M	M	L	M	M	M	M	M
Well #12	M	L	L	L	L	M	M	M	M	M
Well #13	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L
Well #14	Н	L	L	L	L	M	H*2	M	M	M
Well #15	M	M	L	L	L	L	M	M	M	M
Well #16	M	M	L	M	L	L	M	M	M	M

¹H = High Susceptibility, M = Moderate Susceptibility, L = Low Susceptibility,

Susceptibility Summary

In terms of total susceptibility, the wells generally rated moderate for all categories. The exceptions are Wells #4 and #13, which have an overall low susceptibility to all categories and Well #8 which has an overall low rating for microbial contamination. Well #14 automatically rated high for IOCs because of an MCL violation in August 1997 for the chemical cyanide. Though cyanide has not exceeded the MCL since that time, the potential pathway exists within the aquifer.

IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

²H* = Well scored automatically high due to cyanide MCL violation in August 1997

Though there are no current significant water chemistry problems in the ground water, there have been detections in the tested well water of the IOCs fluoride, barium, chromium, arsenic, and nitrate at levels below the current MCLs as set by the EPA. In August 1997, the IOC cyanide was detected in Well #14 at a level of 0.5 mg/l, exceeding the MCL of 0.2 mg/l.

Though total coliform bacteria have not been detected in the distribution system, the chlorine disinfection of December 2000 led to the detection of the VOCs bromoform and chlorodibromomethane, by-products of disinfection, in Wells #1-A, #7, #10, #11 and #14. No SOCs have been detected in the well water.

Surrounding agricultural land use practices have contributed to putting all the wells within areas rated "high" for county level nitrogen fertilizer use, county level herbicide use, and total county level agchemical use. Wells #11 and #13 also fall into an SOC priority area for the pesticides atrazine and alachlor. Additionally, Wells #7, #8, #10, #11, #12, #14, #15, and #16 are located within a nitrate priority area.

Section 4. Options for Drinking Water Protection

The susceptibility assessment should be used as a basis for determining appropriate new protection measures or re-evaluating existing protection efforts. No matter what the susceptibility ranking a source receives, protection is always important. Whether the source is currently located in a "pristine" area or an area with numerous industrial and/or agricultural land uses that require surveillance, the way to ensure good water quality in the future is to act now to protect valuable water supply resources.

An effective drinking water protection program is tailored to the particular local drinking water protection area. A community with a fully developed drinking water protection program will incorporate many strategies. For the City of Caldwell, drinking water protection activities should first focus on correcting any deficiencies outlined on the recent sanitary survey. These surveys are currently not required for large systems that test for microbial contamination more than five times per month. As many of the delineations cover predominantly urban areas, there should be a strong public education program to make people aware that they live above their source of drinking water. Storm water practices may also need to be assessed. Additionally, since some of the well delineations cross agricultural land uses, there should be a focus on implementation of practices aimed at reducing the leaching of agricultural chemicals from agricultural land within the designated source water areas. No potential contaminants, including roads or fuel tanks, should be allowed within 50 feet of any of the wellheads. Much of the designated protection areas are outside the direct jurisdiction of the City of Caldwell, making collaboration and partnerships with state and local agencies and industry groups critical to the success of drinking water protection. All wells should maintain sanitary standards regarding wellhead protection.

Should microbial contamination become a problem, appropriate disinfection practices would need to be implemented in a way to protect the drinking water from VOC by-products of the practice. Though water cannot be totally free of by-products when disinfection is used, they can be reduced by treatment modifications. In 1983, EPA identified some technologies, treatment techniques and plant modifications that water systems could use to reduce the amount of disinfection by-products produced. One of the most effective and simple treatment modifications was to move the point of chlorination downstream in the treatment train thereby reducing the amount of natural organic matter (NOM) in the source water. NOM,

a disinfection by-product precursor, reacts with free chlorine, free bromine, or oxidizing agents to form disinfection by-products. Other factors that affect the formation of by-products are pH, temperature, and dose of disinfection. Other disinfection by-product control strategies can be found at http://www.epa.gov/safewater/mdbp/pdf/alter/chapt_2.pdf.

Due to the time involved with the movement of ground water, drinking water protection activities should be aimed at long-term management strategies even though these strategies may not yield results in the near term. A strong public education program should be a primary focus of any drinking water protection plan as the delineation contains some urban and residential land uses. There are multiple resources available to help communities implement protection programs, including the Drinking Water Program of the EPA. As there are transportation corridors through the delineations, the Idaho Department of Transportation should be involved in protection activities. Drinking water protection activities for agriculture should be coordinated with the Idaho State Department of Agriculture, the Soil Conservation Commission, the Canyon Soil Conservation District, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

A community must incorporate a variety of strategies in order to develop a comprehensive drinking water protection plan, be they regulatory in nature (i.e. zoning, permitting) or non-regulatory in nature (i.e. good housekeeping, public education, specific best management practices). For assistance in developing protection strategies please contact the Boise Regional Office of the DEQ or the Idaho Rural Water Association.

Any new wells that are installed as public water systems (PWSs) are required to follow current well construction standards. The Idaho Department of Water Resources *Well Construction Standards Rules* (1993) require all PWSs to follow DEQ standards as well. IDAPA 58.01.08.550 requires that PWSs follow the *Recommended Standards for Water Works* (1997) during construction.

Assistance

Public water supplies and others may call the following DEQ offices with questions about this assessment and to request assistance with developing and implementing a local protection plan. In addition, draft protection plans may be submitted to the DEQ office for preliminary review and comments.

Boise Regional DEQ Office (208) 373-0550

State DEQ Office (208) 373-0502

Website: http://www.deq.state.id.us

Water suppliers serving fewer than 10,000 persons may contact Melinda Harper (mlharper@idahoruralwater.com), Idaho Rural Water Association, at (208) 334-7001 for assistance with drinking water protection (formerly wellhead protection) strategies.

POTENTIAL CONTAMINANT INVENTORY LIST OF ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

<u>AST (Aboveground Storage Tanks)</u> – Sites with aboveground storage tanks.

<u>Business Mailing List</u> – This list contains potential contaminant sites identified through a yellow pages database search of standard industry codes (SIC).

<u>CERCLIS</u> – This includes sites considered for listing under the <u>Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation</u> and <u>Liability Act (CERCLA)</u>. CERCLA, more commonly known as <u>ASuperfund@</u> is designed to clean up hazardous waste sites that are on the national priority list (NPL).

<u>Cyanide Site</u> – DEQ permitted and known historical sites/facilities using cyanide.

<u>Dairy</u> – Sites included in the primary contaminant source inventory represent those facilities regulated by Idaho State Department of Agriculture (ISDA) and may range from a few head to several thousand head of milking cows.

<u>Deep Injection Well</u> – Injection wells regulated under the Idaho Department of Water Resources generally for the disposal of stormwater runoff or agricultural field drainage.

Enhanced Inventory – Enhanced inventory locations are potential contaminant source sites added by the water system. These can include new sites not captured during the primary contaminant inventory, or corrected locations for sites not properly located during the primary contaminant inventory. Enhanced inventory sites can also include miscellaneous sites added by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) during the primary contaminant inventory.

Floodplain – This is a coverage of the 100year floodplains.

<u>Group 1 Sites</u> – These are sites that show elevated levels of contaminants and are not within the priority one areas.

<u>Inorganic Priority Area</u> – Priority one areas where greater than 25% of the wells/springs show constituents higher than primary standards or other health standards.

<u>Landfill</u> – Areas of open and closed municipal and non-municipal landfills.

<u>LUST (Leaking Underground Storage Tank)</u> – Potential contaminant source sites associated with leaking underground storage tanks as regulated under RCRA.

<u>Mines and Quarries</u> – Mines and quarries permitted through the Idaho Department of Lands.)

<u>Nitrate Priority Area</u> – Area where greater than 25% of wells/springs show nitrate values above 5mg/l.

NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System)

 Sites with NPDES permits. The Clean Water Act requires that any discharge of a pollutant to waters of the United States from a point source must be authorized by an NPDES permit.

<u>Organic Priority Areas</u> – These are any areas where greater than 25 % of wells/springs show levels greater than 1% of the primary standard or other health standards.

<u>Recharge Point</u> – This includes active, proposed, and possible recharge sites on the Snake River Plain.

RICRIS – Site regulated under **Resource Conservation Recovery Act (RCRA)**. RCRA is commonly associated with the cradle to grave management approach for generation, storage, and disposal of hazardous wastes.

SARA Tier II (Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act Tier II Facilities) – These sites store certain types and amounts of hazardous materials and must be identified under the Community Right to Know Act.

<u>Toxic Release Inventory (TRI)</u> – The toxic release inventory list was developed as part of the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know (Community Right to Know) Act passed in 1986. The Community Right to Know Act requires the reporting of any release of a chemical found on the TRI list.

<u>UST (Underground Storage Tank)</u> – Potential contaminant source sites associated with underground storage tanks regulated as regulated under RCRA.

<u>Wastewater Land Applications Sites</u> – These are areas where the land application of municipal or industrial wastewater is permitted by DEQ.

<u>Wellheads</u> – These are drinking water well locations regulated under the Safe Drinking Water Act. They are not treated as potential contaminant sources.

NOTE: Many of the potential contaminant sources were located using a geocoding program where mailing addresses are used to locate a facility. Field verification of potential contaminant sources is an important element of an enhanced inventory.

Where possible, a list of potential contaminant sites unable to be located with geocoding will be provided to water systems to determine if the potential contaminant sources are located within the source water assessment area.

References Cited

- Anderson, J.E. and Wood, S.H., 1981. Geological, Hydrological Geochemical and Geophysical Investigations of the Caldwell-Caldwell and Adjacent Areas, Southwestern Idaho. Chapter 3, Geohydrology, In: Mitchell, J. C., ed., Geothermal Investigations in Idaho, Part 11: Idaho Department of Water Resources, Water Information Bulletin 30: p. 33-42.
- Clemens, D.M., 1993. Volcanic stratigraphy and tectonic development, Western Snake River Plain, Idaho. M.S. Thesis, Arizona State University.
- Great Lakes-Upper Mississippi River Board of State and Provincial Public Health and Environmental Managers, 1997. "Recommended Standards for Water Works."
- Idaho Department of Agriculture, 1998. Unpublished Data.
- Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, 1997. Design Standards for Public Drinking Water Systems. IDAPA 58.01.08.550.01.
- Idaho Department of Water Resources, 1993. Administrative Rules of the Idaho Water Resource Board: Well Construction Standards Rules. IDAPA 37.03.09.
- Malde, H.E., 1991. Quaternary geology and structural history of the Snake River Plain, Idaho and Oregon. In: The Geology of North America, Quaternary Nonglacial Geology: Conterminous U.S., Vol. K-2, 252-281 pp.
- Malde, H.E. and Powers, H.A., 1962. Upper Cenozoic stratigraphy of Western Snake River Plain. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 73: 1197-1220.
- Neely, K.W. and J.K. Crockett, 1998. "Ground Water Quality Characterization and Initial Trend Analyses for the Treasure Valley Shallow and Deep Hydrogeologic Subareas," Idaho Department of Water Resources, Water Information Bulletin No. 50, Part 3.
- Othberg, K.L., 1994. Geology and geomorphology of the Boise Valley and adjoining areas, western Snake River Plain, Idaho. Idaho Geological Survey Bulletin 29: 54 pp.
- Othberg, K.L. and Stanford, L., 1992. Geologic map of the Boise Valley and adjoining area, Western Snake River Plain, Idaho. Idaho Geological Survey.
- Petrich, C.R. and S.M. Urban, 1996. "Treasure Valley Hydrologic Project Background Draft," September, 1996.
- Petrich, C.R. and J.H. Hutchings (IWRRI), S.M. Urban and R.A. Carlson (IDWR), 1999. "Progress Report on the Characterization of Treasure Valley Ground Water Resources Draft," prepared for and in cooperation with the Idaho Department of Water Resources, June 30, 1999.

- Squires, E., Wood, S.H. and Osiensky, J.L., 1992. Hydrogeologic Framework of the Boise Aquifer System, Ada County, Idaho, Research Technical Completion Report, Idaho Water Resources Research Institute, University of Idaho. 114 pp.
- Wood, S.H., 1994. Seismic expression and geological significance of a lacustrine delta in Neogene deposits of the Western Snake River Plain, Idaho. AAPG Bulletin, 1(January): p. 102-121.
- Wood, S.H. and Anderson, J.E., 1981. Part 11: Geological, hydrological, and geochemical and Geophysical investigations of the Caldwell-Caldwell and adjacent areas, southwestern Idaho. In: J.C. Mitchell (Editor), Geothermal investigations in Idaho. Idaho Department of Water Resources.
- Wuolo, R.W., J. Wittman, and D.M. Reynolds, 2001. "Summary Report: Delineation of Public Drinking Water Sources for the Source Water Assessment Program: Boise Valley and Mountain Home Plateau," BARR, Minneapolis, August 2001.

Attachment A

City of Caldwell Delineation Figures Table A-1. Wells #6, Potential Contaminant Inventory

Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
1	Trailers-Horse (Wholesale)	0-3	Database Search	IOC
2, 4	Fertilizers (Wholesale); SARA Site	0-3	Database Search	IOC, SOC, Microbes
3, 5	Livestock Equipment & Supllies (Wholesale); SARA Site	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbes
	Union Pacific Railroad	0-3	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbes
6, 11	UST – Open; SARA Site	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC
7	Automobile Body-Repairing & Painting	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
8	Trucking-Motor Freight	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
9	Machine Shops	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
10	Limousine Service	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
12	Feedlot	3-6	Enhanced Inventory	IOC, SOC
	Interstate 84	3-6	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC

¹ UST = underground storage tank, SARA = Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

Table A-2. Wells #7, Potential Contaminant Inventory

Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
1	Welding	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
2	Trailer-Manufacturers	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
3	Aircraft Servicing & Maintenance	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
4	Recreational Vehicles-Wholesale	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
5	Automobile Repairing & Service	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
6	Industrial Equipment & Supplies (Wholesale)	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
7	Recycling Equipment & Systems	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
8	Welding	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
9	RCRA Site-Automobiles	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
10	RCRA Site-Lawn Company	0-3	Database Search	IOC, SOC, Microbes
	Union Pacific Railroad	0-3	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbes
11	UST-Open	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
12	Aircraft Servicing & Maintenance	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
13, 18	Aircraft-Manufacturers	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
14, 15, 16	Airport; Aircraft Charter; City Government Transport	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
17	CERCLA Site	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
19	Airport	3-6	Enhanced Inventory	IOC, VOC, SOC
	Interstate 84	3-6	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC

¹ UST = underground storage tank, RCRA = Resource Conservation Recovery Act, CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act

² TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead

³ IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

² TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead

³ IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

Table A-3. Well #8, Potential Contaminant Inventory

	3. Well #8, Potential Contaminant			
Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
1, 2	LUST – Site Cleanup Incomplete, Impact: Unknown; LUST – Site Cleanup Incomplete, Impact: GROUND WATER	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
3, 4	LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: GROUND WATER; LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: GROUND WATER	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
5, 10	LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: GROUND WATER; UST - Closed	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
6, 11	LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: Unknown; UST – Closed; Historical & Remediated	0-3	Database Search	None
7	UST – Closed	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
8	UST – Closed	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
9, 15	UST - Open; SARA Site	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
12	Glass Coating & Tinting	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
13	Molding-Manufacturers	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
14	Automobile Restoration-Antique & Classic	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
16, 23	LUST – Site Cleanup Incomplete, Impact: GROUND WATER; UST - Closed	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
17, 21	LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: Unknown; UST – Closed	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
18, 22	LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: Unknown; UST – Closed	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
19, 24	LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: GROUND WATER; UST – Open	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
20, 25	LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: Unknown; UST – Closed; Historical Auto Dealership	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
26, 35	Automobile Parts & Supplies-Retail; Car Washing & Polishing	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
27	Bus Lines	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
28	Printers	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC
29	Paint-Retail	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
30	Rental Service-Stores & Yards	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
31	Oils-Lubricating-Wholesale	3-6	Database Search	VOC
32	Cleaners	3-6	Database Search	VOC
33	Bus Lines	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
34	Home Builders	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
36	General Contractors	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
37	Paint-Retail	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
38	Printers	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC
39, 45	Service Station-Gasoline & Oil; SARA Site	3-6	Database Search	VOC, SOC
40	Historic rental company	3-6	Database Search	none
41	CERCLA Site – Landfill, Historic	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
42	RCRA Site	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
43	RCRA Site	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
44	Sand and gravel pit	3-6	Database Search	IOC
	Highway 30	3-6	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC
46, 47	LUST – Site Cleanup Completed, Impact: Unknown; UST – Closed, Historical	6-10	Database Search	VOC, SOC
48	Plastics & Plastic Products (Manufacturing)	6-10	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
49	Cabinets-Manufacturers	6-10	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
50	Trucking-Heavy Hauling	6-10	Database Search	VOC, SOC
51	Trucking-Heavy Hauling	6-10	Database Search	VOC, SOC
52	Oils-Fuel (Wholesale)	6-10	Database Search	VOC, SOC
53	Trucking-Motor Freight	6-10	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC

Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
54	Trailers-Horse (Wholesale)	6-10	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
55	RCRA Site-Historical	6-10	Database Search	none
	Union Pacific Railroad	6-10	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC

¹ UST = underground storage tank, LUST = leaking underground storage tank, RCRA = Resource Conservation Recovery Act, SARA = Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act, CERCLA = Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act

² TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead ³ IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

Table A-4. Well #9, Potential Contaminant Inventory

Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
1, 4	UST – Open; SARA Site	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC
2	Trucking-Motor Freight	0-3	Database Search	VOC, SOC
3	Machine Shops	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
	Interstate 84	0-3	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbes

¹ UST = underground storage tank, SARA = Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act

Table A-5. Well #10, Potential Contaminant Inventory

Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
1	Lawn Mowers-Sharpening & Repairing	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
2	Automobile Parts & Supplies-Retail	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
3	Hardware-Retail	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
4	Tire-Dealers-Retail	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
5	Air Cargo Service	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
6	RCRA Site	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
7	RCRA Site	3-6	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
	Interstate 84	3-10	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC

Table A-6. Well #11, Potential Contaminant Inventory

Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
1, 2, 3, 4, 5	UST – Open; Airport	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC

¹ UST = underground storage tank

² TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead

³ IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

¹ RCRA = Resource Conservation Recovery Act
² TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead

³ IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

² TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead

³ IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

Table A-7. Well #13, Potential Contaminant Inventory

Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
	Highway 30	0-3	GIS Map	IOC, VOC, SOC, Microbes

² TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead

Table A-8. Well #14, Potential Contaminant Inventory

Site #	Source Description ¹	TOT ZONE ²	Source of Information	Potential Contaminants ³
1	Parking Area Maintenance & Marking	0-3	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC
2	Roofing Contractor residence	3-6	Database Search	none
3	Spraying-Horticultural	3-6	Database Search	IOC, SOC
4	Automobile Dealers-Used Cars	6-10	Database Search	IOC, VOC, SOC

² TOT = time-of-travel (in years) for a potential contaminant to reach the wellhead

³ IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

³ IOC = inorganic chemical, VOC = volatile organic chemical, SOC = synthetic organic chemical

Appendix B

City of Caldwell Susceptibility Analysis Worksheets The final scores for the susceptibility analysis were determined using the following formulas:

- 1) VOC/SOC/IOC Final Score = Hydrologic Sensitivity + System Construction + (Potential Contaminant/Land Use x 0.2)
- 2) Microbial Final Score = Hydrologic Sensitivity + System Construction + (Potential Contaminant/Land Use x 0.35)

Final Susceptibility Scoring:

- 0 5 Low Susceptibility
- 6 12 Moderate Susceptibility
- ≥ 13 High Susceptibility

Ground Water Susceptibility Report Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF

Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 02/05/1987 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards NO 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit NO Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 3 Soils are poorly to moderately drained YES Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown 1 Depth to first water > 300 feet NO 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness Ω ------Total Hydrologic Score 4 IOC SOC Microbial Score Score Score 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 IRRIGATED CROPLAND
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 0 0 0 Ω 0 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 0 0 0 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or YES 2 Ω 2 0 4 Points Maximum 0 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area cepts a Group 1 Area NO Land use Zone 1B 25 to 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land NO 0 0 2 0 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 4 2 2 2 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II 0 0 Contaminant Sources Present 0 cheable contaminants or YES 1 0
Land Use Zone II Greater Than 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land 2 2 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ω Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III 0 0 0 Contaminant Source Present NO 0 YES 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ω YES Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of 1 1 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 2 1 1 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score 5. Final Well Ranking Moderate Moderate Moderate

Well# : WELL #1-A

12/17/2001 8:19:16 AM

Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 12/13/2000 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) YES 2001 Well meets IDWR construction standards 1 NO Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES 0 Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit YES Ω Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 1 Soils are poorly to moderately drained Ω YES Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown 1 Depth to first water > 300 feet 1 NO Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness 0 ______ Total Hydrologic Score 2 IOC Microbial 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score Score Score Land Use Zone 1A IRRIGATED CROPLAND Farm chemical use high IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B 0 0 Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) Ω Ω 0 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 0 0 0 0 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or NO Ω 0 4 Points Maximum 0 0 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area NO 0 0 Less Than 25% Agricultural Land 0 0 Land use Zone 1B 0 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 5. Final Well Ranking

Well# : WELL #4

12/17/2001 8:19:30 AM

Public Water System Name : CALDWELL CITY OF Public Water System Number 3140013 Well# : WELL #6 12/17/2001 8:19:43 AM

ystem Construction		SCORE			
Drill Date	05/01/1965				
Driller Log Available	YES				
Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey)	Drill Date O5/01/1965 O5/				
Well meets IDWR construction standards					
Wellhead and surface seal maintained		_			
Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit					
Highest production 100 feet below static water level					
Well located outside the 100 year flood plain					
weil located outside the 100 year 1100d plain	150				
	Total System Construction Score	3			
ydrologic Sensitivity					
Soils are poorly to moderately drained	NO	2			
Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown		1			
Depth to first water > 300 feet	The state of the s				
Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness					
				Score 2 2 NO 4 3 6 3 3 0 2 11 4 0 0 1 1 20	
		4 			
		IOC	VOC	SOC	Microbia
otential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A		Score	Score	Score	Score
Land Use Zone 1A	IRRIGATED CROPLAND	2	2	2	2
Farm chemical use high	YES	2	0	2	
IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
	ial Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A	4	2	4	2
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B					
Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources)	YES	4	2	3	3
(Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum		8	4	6	6
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or	YES	4	1	3	
		4	1	3	
Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area	NO	1/1965 ES	0		
Land use Zone 1B	Drill Date Drill Date Took YES Took Available YES Took Available YES Took Available YES Took	2			
Total Potentia:	L Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B	2001 1 0 2 0 0 3 3 2 1 1 1 0 4 IOC VOC SCORE 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 NO NO NO NO 4 2 4 2 3 8 4 6 4 1 3 3 4 1 3 0 0 2 2 2 14 7 11 2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1	8		
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II					
Contaminant Sources Present	YES	2	2	2	
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or				Score 2 2 NO 4 3 6 3 3 0 2 11 1 20 11	
Land Use Zone II					
Potential	Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone II	4	4	4	0
Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III					
Contaminant Source Present	NO	0	0	0	
Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or					
s there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of	YES		-		
Total Potential		2	1	1	0
Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score		24	 14	20	10
inal Susceptibility Source Score		12	10	11	11

Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF

Well# : WELL #7

12/17/2001 8:19:55 AM

Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit Highest production 100 feet below static water level Well located outside the 100 year flood plain 2. Hydrologic Sensitivity Soils are poorly to moderately drained NO Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown 0 Depth to first water > 300 feet 1 Aguitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness Total Hydrologic Score 1 ______ TOC SOC Microbial 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score Score Score Land Use Zone 1A IRRIGATED CROPLAND 0 Farm chemical use high

YES

bial sources in Zone 10 Initical use high FES 2 0 cores in Zone 1A NO NO NO Total Potential Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A 4 2 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B 8 10 11 Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 8 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 8 4

 cheable contaminants or
 YES
 4
 2

 4 Points Maximum
 4
 2

 tercepts a Group 1 Area
 YES
 2
 0

 Land use Zone 1B
 25 to 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land
 2
 2

 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area ______ Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 16 12 12 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II Contaminant Sources Present YES 2 2 2 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or YES 1 1 0 0 0 Land Use Zone II Less than 25% Agricultural Land ______ Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone II 3 3 3 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III 0 0 0 Contaminant Source Present NO 0 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or YES 0 Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of YES 1 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 2 1 1 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score 5. Final Well Ranking Moderate Moderate Moderate

Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 06/01/1974 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards NO 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit NO Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 3 Soils are poorly to moderately drained Λ Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown 0 Depth to first water > 300 feet NO 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness 0 Total Hydrologic Score 1 IOC SOC Microbial Score Score 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 IRRIGATED CROPLAND
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B 3 9 9 Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) Ω 8 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 8 Ω 6 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 0 6 0 0 4 4 Points Maximum 0 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area

Land use Zone 1B

Less Than 25% Agricultural Land 0 0 -----Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 8 12 8 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II 2 2 Contaminant Sources Present 2 YES 1 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 1 Land Use Zone II Less than 25% Agricultural Land 0 0 0 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III Contaminant Source Present YES 1 1 YES 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 1 1 NO 0 0 Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 2 2 2 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score

Well# : WELL #8

Moderate Moderate

12/17/2001 8:20:06 AM

Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 10/01/1975 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards NO 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit NO Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 3 Soils are poorly to moderately drained NO 0 Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown Depth to first water > 300 feet NO 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness Ω Total Hydrologic Score 3 IOC SOC Microbial Score Score 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 IRRIGATED CROPLAND
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B 3 Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 3 4 1 8 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 6 6 2 5 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or YES 4 2 4 Points Maximum Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area NO 0 0 4 4 0 Land use Zone 1B Greater Than 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 14 14 11 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II 0 0 Contaminant Sources Present 0 cheable contaminants or YES 1 0
Land Use Zone II Greater Than 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land 2 2 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 0 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III 0 0 0 Contaminant Source Present NO 1 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or YES Ω YES Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of 1 1 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 2 1 1 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score

Well# : WELL #9

Moderate Moderate Moderate

12/17/2001 8:20:17 AM

Ground Water Susceptibility Report Public Water System Name :

> CALDWELL CITY OF Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 05/01/1976 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards NO 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit NO Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 3 Soils are poorly to moderately drained Λ Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown 0 NO Depth to first water > 300 feet 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness Total Hydrologic Score 3 IOC SOC Microbial Score Score 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 IRRIGATED CROPLAND
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 0 0 0 Ω 0 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 0 0 Ω 0 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or NO Ω 0 0 4 Points Maximum 0 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area YES 2 0 0 0 pts a Group 1 Area YES

Land use Zone 1B Less Than 25% Agricultural Land 0 -----Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 2 0 0 ______ Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II 2 2 Contaminant Sources Present 2 YES 1 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 1 Land Use Zone II Less than 25% Agricultural Land 0 0 0 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III YES Contaminant Source Present 1 1 YES 1 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 1 YES 1 Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of 1 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 3 3 3 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score

Well# : WELL #10

Moderate Moderate Moderate

12/17/2001 8:17:53 AM

Ground Water Susceptibility Report Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF

Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 10/01/1977 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards NO 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit NO Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 3 Soils are poorly to moderately drained 0 Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown NO Depth to first water > 300 feet 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness ------Total Hydrologic Score 5 IOC SOC Microbial Score Score 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 IRRIGATED CROPLAND
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 1 1 1 Ω 2 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 2. 0 2. 1 5 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 4 1 4 Points Maximum Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area YES Land use Zone 1B Greater Than 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land -----Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 12 7 9 4 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II 0 0 Contaminant Sources Present 0 Cheable contaminants or YES 1 0
Land Use Zone II Greater Than 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land 2 2 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ο Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III 0 0 0 Contaminant Source Present NO 1 0 YES Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ω YES Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of 1 1 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 2 1 1 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score 5. Final Well Ranking Moderate Moderate Moderate

Well# : WELL #11

12/17/2001 8:18:04 AM

Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 12/01/1978 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) YES 2001 Well meets IDWR construction standards 1 NO Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Ω Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit NO Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 3 Soils are poorly to moderately drained 2 NO 0 Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown Depth to first water > 300 feet NO 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness 0 ______ Total Hydrologic Score 3 IOC Microbial 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score Score Score Land Use Zone 1A IRRIGATED CROPLAND chemical use high YES Farm chemical use high IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B 0 0 Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) Ω Ω (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 0 0 0 0 0 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ω 0 4 Points Maximum 0 0 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area YES 2 0 0 0 0 Less Than 25% Agricultural Land Land use Zone 1B 0 ______ Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score

Well# : WELL #12

12/17/2001 8:18:18 AM

Public Water System Name :

1. System Construction Drill Date 03/01/1980 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) YES 2001 Well meets IDWR construction standards 1 NO Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES 0 Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit YES Ω Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 1 Soils are poorly to moderately drained Ω NO 0 Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown Depth to first water > 300 feet NO 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness 0 ______ Total Hydrologic Score 1 IOC SOC Microbial 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score Score Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 IRRIGATED CROPLAND
 2
 2
 2

 chemical use high
 YES
 2
 0
 2

 curces in Zone 1A
 NO
 NO
 NO
 NO
 NO

 Total Potential Contaminant Source/Land Use Score - Zone 1A
 4
 2
 4

 Land Use Zone 1A IRRIGATED CROPLAND
Farm chemical use high YES IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B 1 1 Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 1 1 2 2 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 2. 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 1 1 4 Points Maximum 1 1 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area YES 0 0 Less Than 25% Agricultural Land Land use Zone 1B 0 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 7 5 9 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score

Well# : WELL #13

12/17/2001 8:18:28 AM

Report Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF

Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 02/06/1986 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards NO 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit NO Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 3 Soils are poorly to moderately drained 0 Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown Depth to first water > 300 feet NO 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness ------Total Hydrologic Score 5 IOC SOC Microbial Score Score 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 URBAN/COMMERCIAL
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B 1 1 Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 1 Ω 2 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 2 2. 0 0 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or NO 0 0 0 4 Points Maximum 0 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area YES 2 0 0 0 pts a Group 1 Area YES

Land use Zone 1B Less Than 25% Agricultural Land -----Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 4 2 2 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II 2 0 Contaminant Sources Present 2
 able contaminants or
 YES
 1
 0
 1

 Land Use Zone II
 Less than 25% Agricultural Land
 0
 0
 0
 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III Contaminant Source Present YES 1 1 NO 0 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ω NO 0 0 Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 1 1 1 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score 5. Final Well Ranking

Well# : WELL #14

12/17/2001 8:18:39 AM

Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF

Public Water System Number 3140013

1. System Construction Drill Date 08/01/1995 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit YES Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 1 Soils are poorly to moderately drained YES Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown 1 Depth to first water > 300 feet NO 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness Ω ------Total Hydrologic Score 4 IOC SOC Microbial Score Score 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 IRRIGATED CROPLAND
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 0 0 0 Ω 0 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 0 0 Ω 0 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or NO Ω 0 0 4 Points Maximum 0 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area YES 2 0 0 0 pts a Group 1 Area YES

Land use Zone 1B Less Than 25% Agricultural Land 0 ______ Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 2 0 0 ______ Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II 0 0 Contaminant Sources Present 0 cheable contaminants or YES 1 0
Land Use Zone II Greater Than 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land 2 2 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ω Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III 0 0 0 Contaminant Source Present NO 0 YES 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ω YES Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of 1 1 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 2 1 1 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score 5. Final Well Ranking Moderate Moderate Moderate

Well# : WELL #15

12/17/2001 8:18:51 AM

Public Water System Name :

CALDWELL CITY OF Public Water System Number

3140013

Well# : WELL #16

12/17/2001 8:19:04 AM

1. System Construction Drill Date 08/31/1995 Driller Log Available YES Sanitary Survey (if yes, indicate date of last survey) 2001 YES Well meets IDWR construction standards NO 1 Wellhead and surface seal maintained YES Casing and annular seal extend to low permeability unit YES Highest production 100 feet below static water level YES Well located outside the 100 year flood plain Total System Construction Score 1 Soils are poorly to moderately drained NO Vadose zone composed of gravel, fractured rock or unknown Λ Depth to first water > 300 feet NO 1 Aquitard present with > 50 feet cumulative thickness Ω Total Hydrologic Score 3 IOC SOC Microbial Score Score Score 3. Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1A Score

 Land Use Zone 1A
 IRRIGATED CROPLAND
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 2
 IOC, VOC, SOC, or Microbial sources in Zone 1A Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE 1B Contaminant sources present (Number of Sources) 0 0 0 Ω 0 (Score = # Sources X 2) 8 Points Maximum 0 0 0 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or 4 Ω 0 4 4 Points Maximum 0 Zone 1B contains or intercepts a Group 1 Area YES 2 0 4 4 0 Land use Zone 1B Greater Than 50% Irrigated Agricultural Land Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone 1B 10 4 4 4 Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE II 0 0 Contaminant Sources Present 0 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Potential Contaminant / Land Use - ZONE III 0 0 0 Contaminant Source Present NO 0 YES 1 Sources of Class II or III leacheable contaminants or Ω YES Is there irrigated agricultural lands that occupy > 50% of 1 1 Total Potential Contaminant Source / Land Use Score - Zone III 2 1 1 Cumulative Potential Contaminant / Land Use Score 4. Final Susceptibility Source Score 5. Final Well Ranking Moderate Moderate Moderate